

# Core Stability and Hip Exercises Improve Physical Function and Activity in Patients with Non-Specific Low Back Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial

# Beomryong Kim<sup>1</sup> and Jongeun Yim<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, The Graduate School of Sahmyook University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Approximately 90% of low back pain (LBP) diagnoses are non-specific (NSLBP; i.e. with unknown cause). In NSLBP patients, the hamstrings, iliopsoas, piriformis, and tensor fasciae latae are overactive due to weak hip abductor, extensor, and core muscles. Core stability is essential for proper load balance within the pelvis, spine, and kinetic chain, and core stability exercise (CSE) is an exercise treatment regimen for LBP conditions. We investigated how core stability and hip muscle stretching exercises affected NSLBP patients' physical function and activity. Patients were randomly allocated to three groups. The Stretch group (n = 24) performed exercises for hip muscle stretching for maximal motion; the Strengthen group (n = 22) performed exercises for hip muscle strengthening while maintaining the maximal isometric contraction. The Sham group (n = 20) received gentle palpation of the skin. Therapy was conducted thrice weekly for 6 weeks. Pain intensity, lower back instability, and hip muscle flexibility were measured to assess physical function. Disability level, balance ability, and quality of life were measured to assess physical activity. Data were collected prior to intervention and at 6-week follow-up. There were significant within-group changes for all measurements (P < 0.05). The Stretch and Strengthen groups had greater improvements in pain intensity, disability level, balance ability, and quality of life than the Sham group. Lower back instability and hip muscle flexibility had the greatest improvement in the Stretch group. In conclusion, CSE and hip muscle stretching are effective at improving physical function and activity in NSLBP patients.

**Keywords:** core stability exercise; hip muscle exercise; non-specific low back pain; physical activity; physical function

Tohoku J. Exp. Med., 2020 July, 251 (3), 193-206.

# Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is experienced by 80% of the population at least once during their lifetime (O'Sullivan 2000). Approximately 10% of LBP patients present specific LBP with etiologies such as lumbar spinal stenosis, spondylolisthesis, fracture of spine, inflammatory disease, or nerve root compression (Deyo et al. 1992). Meanwhile, 90% of LBP patients are diagnosed with non-specific LBP (NSLBP), in which the cause cannot be clinically identified (Koes et al. 2006).

Core stability exercise (CSE) is emphasized as a universal physiotherapy method for NSLBP (Liddle et al. 2004). CSE trains muscle activity patterns without unnecessarily overloading the tissue, and can help to stabilize the spine (Kavcic et al. 2004). Additional physiotherapy for

NSLBP patients aims to treat the hip joint, especially for those patients with accompanying hip joint pain (Ben-Galim et al. 2007). The concept of hip-spine syndrome was introduced by Offierski and MacNab (1983) 30 years ago, and is frequently cited in the literature. This model assumes that there is a clear disability in two areas - the hip joint (usually hip joint arthritis) and the lower back (generally stenosis). The model hypothesizes that treatment of one area can improve pain and function in the untreated area. Although the hip joint and lower back have different functions, they actually perform a single action (Arokoski et al. 2004). Due to this relationship, musculoskeletal symptoms can be directly and indirectly affected by disability in adjacent joints (Sueki et al. 2013). Elderly patients with chronic LBP often present with hip joint pain and morning stiffness (Hicks et al. 2018), and athletes with LBP show restricted

Received April 6, 2020; revised and accepted June 18, 2020. Published online July 14, 2020; doi: 10.1620/tjem.251.193.

Correspondence: JongeunYim, D.Sc., Department of Physical Therapy, College of Health Science and Social Welfare, Sahmyook University, 815 Hwarang-ro, Nowon-gu, Seoul 01795, Republic of Korea.

e-mail: jeyim@syu.ac.kr

<sup>©2020</sup> Tohoku University Medical Press. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0). Anyone may download, reuse, copy, reprint, or distribute the article without modifications or adaptations for non-profit purposes if they cite the original authors and source properly. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

hip joint motion (Yang et al. 2018). LBP patients with hip joint osteoarthritis have reported reduced pain and improved function of the hip joint and lower back after total hip replacement surgery (Ben-Galim et al. 2007). Thus, previous studies have demonstrated an association between LBP and the hip joint.

There have been several studies comparing hip muscle strength between NSLBP patients and healthy adults. Studies have reported LBP patients show significantly lower hip abductor and extensor strength compared to healthy adults (Nourbakhsh and Arab 2002), and show weakening of the hip abductor (Tsai et al. 2010; Cooper et al. 2016), or weakening of the hip extensor (Cai and Kong 2015). Therefore, there is evidence that LBP patients experience more weakening of the hip muscles compared to healthy adults. There have been several studies that have implemented exercises for hip strengthening for LBP patients experiencing hip muscle weakness. Studies have shown that exercises for core stability and hip strengthening and motion can reduce LBP and improve LBP disability, lower back muscle strength, and balance ability; patient satisfaction has also been shown to improve when combining lumbar spine therapy and hip strengthening and joint mobility therapy (Jeong et al. 2015; Kendall et al. 2015; Bade et al. 2017). Recently, Bernet et al. (2018) reported a systematic review and meta-analysis on the effects of hip muscle intervention in LBP patients; however, the majority of studies reported hip muscle intervention as therapy for hip joint mobility and exercises to strengthen the muscles around the hip, whereas the effects of stretching the hip muscle on LBP and disability were not considered. Stretching is used prophylactically in healthy individuals or in cases of disability to prevent injury, and is often thought to improve performance (Behm et al. 2016). Health care professionals often use stretching as a means of increasing joint motion in the care for LBP patients (Han et al. 2016).

In order to maintain lumbar spine and pelvis stability, the stability of the sacroiliac joint is important, via activation of the multifidus, transversus abdominis, internal oblique, and gluteus maximus muscles (Hungerford et al. 2003). However, LBP patients experience a weakening of these muscles, leading to impaired stability of the sacroiliac joint (Nourbakhsh and Arab 2002; Hungerford et al. 2003). Hamstring activation is used to compensate for this disability, but this instigates a worsening cycle of LBP, where hamstring activation further delays activation of the multifidus, transversus abdominis, internal oblique, and gluteus maximus muscles (Hungerford et al. 2003; MassoudArab et al. 2011). Han et al. (2016) implemented CSE and hamstring stretching exercises for LBP patients and reported reduced pain and improved work ability. Fasuyi et al. (2017) reported that LBP patients show hamstring shortening, and that increasing the flexibility of the hamstrings reduces the extent of pelvic tilt. Pelvic tilt in LBP patients is also affected by the iliopsoas muscle (Lee et al. 2018b). Imamura et al. (2016) reported that the extent of LBP and disability are associated with the iliopsoas muscle, while Malai et al. (2015) reported that, in LBP patients with lumbar hyper lordosis, iliopsoas muscle stretching exercises resulted in decreased pain and lumbar lordosis angle, and increased transversus abdominis activation and flexibility of iliopsoas muscle. Kasunich (2003) proposed tensor fasciae latae tightness as a cause of LBP, and Bae et al. (2017) reported that tensor fasciae latae stretching exercises improves hip joint and pelvis motion, and helps to reduce LBP and disability. The piriformis muscle is a hip rotator, and the presence of LBP increases the size of the piriformis muscle (Leung et al. 2015), while LBP patients show restriction in hip joint rotation motion (Yang et al. 2018).

In summary, the causes of NSLBP include weakening of the hip abductor and extensor, and core muscles. The hamstring, iliopsoas, tensor fasciae latae, and piriformis muscles may be over-activated to compensate for weakening of the hip and core muscles. Many previous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of CSE and hip muscle interventions in NSLBP patients. However, hip muscle intervention has only been implemented for the individual hip muscle, and there is little known about utilizing interventions for the overall hip musculature. The purpose of the present study was to examine the effects of CSE and hip muscle stretching exercises on physical function (i.e., pain, instability, hip muscle flexibility) of patients with NSLBP and on activity (i.e. disability, balance, quality of life [QOL]), and to investigate differences between hip muscle stretching and strengthening exercises.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Participants

This study was conducted at the Design Hospital in Jeonju, Jeollabuk-do between June and November 2019. The participants were patients diagnosed with NSLBP and were prescribed exercise therapy by an orthopedist. These patients had complaints of NSLBP for at least 3 months (Balthazard et al. 2012), and experienced a pain intensity of 3 or higher based on the visual analogue scale (VAS, 0-10 scale) (Seong et al. 2011). A total of 66 patients (34 men, 32 women) between the ages of 30-65 were ultimately included in the analysis.

The required sample size was calculated using G\*power 3.1 (G-Power software 3.1.2; Franz Faul, University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany). The analysis of covariance was set at significance level ( $\alpha$ ) = 0.05, large effect size f = 0.4, and power = 0.8; this required a sample size of 64 patients to maintain an actual power of 0.80. To account for patients that would discontinue treatment (dropouts), we estimated that a total of 75 patients would be required.

The exclusion criteria adapted from a prior study (Krekoukias et al. 2017) included: (1) history of spinal surgery, (2) history of ankylosing spondylitis or rheumatoid arthritis, (3) history of spondylolisthesis or spondylolysis, (4) history of spinal or pelvic fracture, (5) history of spinal inflammation or tumor, (6) history of osteoporosis, (7) continuous use of pain medications, (8) history of stroke, (9) history of respiratory disease or heart disease, or (10) pregnancy. The withdrawal criteria were as follows: (1) lack of compliance (< 90% of sessions), or (2) participants did not want to complete the study.

The research team provided participants with detailed information about the research procedure and the safety of related experiments and treatment method, and obtained voluntary written consent from all participants. This study was approved by the Sahmyook University Institutional Review Board (IRB No. 2-7001793-AB-N-012019047HR), located in Seoul, South Korea. The research protocol was registered at http://clinicaltrials.gov (CRIS identifier KCT0004140).

#### Procedure

The participants consisted of 75 NSLBP patients who had received a thorough explanation of the study requirements and voluntarily consented to participation. These participants were randomly divided into a Stretch group (n = 25) and Strengthen group (n = 25), and underwent CSE (30 min) and exercises for hip muscle stretching (15 min) or strengthening (15 min), respectively. The Sham group (n = 25) underwent CSE (30 min) and a sham treatment that entailed gentle palpation of the skin (15 min). Graphpad software was used for the randomization process (http:// www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/randomize1/). All NSLBP patients agreed to the group allocations. The NSLBP patients were blinded to which exercise group they were in until the end of the research. The procedure was performed by a principal investigator who was not involved with patient intervention and measurement. The randomization timetable was known only to the intervention administrators who treated the patients. The examiner assessing patients before intervention and after 6 weeks of intervention was also blinded to group allocation, and the patients were asked not to disclose this to the examiner.

The research team consisted of one principal investigator, one examiner, and six intervention administrators. The principal investigator checked whether participants were suitable for the study, and explained the study procedure and objectives. The examiner was blinded to group allocation and assessed the patients before intervention and after 6 weeks. Each group had two intervention administrators that were responsible for administering intervention only to the patients included within the group. Only the principal investigator had knowledge of the entire research flow, and the whole study was discussed with orthopedists and the chief of the rehabilitation center.

Outcomes were examined before the intervention and after 6 weeks; outcomes included: pain intensity, lower back instability, hip muscle flexibility (which included hamstring, iliopsoas, tensor fasciae latae, and piriformis muscles for this study), disability level, balance ability, and QOL.

Of the 75 participants, there were 9 dropouts in total,

with 1 dropout from the Stretch group (unable to contact), 3 dropouts from Strengthen group (unable to contact), and 5 dropouts from the Sham group (unable to contact 4 and 1 had surgery). Thus, the main outcomes were investigated in 66 patients (Fig. 1). Table 1 summarizes the patients' general characteristics.

#### Outcome measures

*Measurement of pain intensity*: The patients were instructed to indicate the subjective extent of their LBP along a 10-cm straight line, with tick marks. An overall score between 0 and 10 points was given, with 'no pain' defined as 0 points and 'the most severe pain possible' defined as 10 points. The VAS is an instrument with a very high test-retest reliability (intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC] = 0.99) and inter-rater reliability (ICC = 1.00) (Wagner et al. 2007).

*Measurement of lower back instability*: The passive straight leg raising test (PSLRT) was used to assess instability in the lower back. With the patient in a supine position, the examiner held the patient's ankle and, with the knee extended, slowly moved the hip joint into maximal flexion, and then measured the angle of the hip using a digital inclinometer. The PSLRT is an instrument with high inter-rater reliability (ICC = 0.87-0.96) (Waddell et al. 1992).

*Measurement of hip muscle flexibility*: The toe-touch test (TTT) was used to measure hamstring muscle flexibility. The patient stood facing forward with both feet together. The patient then bent forward from the hip to touch their toes with their hands. Patients were asked to bend over as far as possible without bending their knees. The TTT is an instrument with high test-retest reproducibility (ICC = 0.89) (Ayala et al. 2012).

The modified Thomas test (MTT) was used to measure iliopsoas muscle flexibility. The patient sat on the edge of a table and lay down on their back. The patient pulled their knees towards their chest while keeping their lower back pressed against the table (to prevent compensation of motion by lower back extension). One foot was slowly lowered below the table. The examiner observed and felt the thigh to ensure that it was completely relaxed. In this state, a digital inclinometer was placed at the center of the lateral aspect of the thigh, and the motion of hip extension was measured. The MTT is an instrument with high interrater reliability (ICC = 0.89-0.92) (Clapis et al. 2008).

The Ober test (OT) was used to measure tensor fasciae latae muscle flexibility. The patient lay on their side with the leg that needed to be measured on top. To stabilize their posture, the patient flexed the hip and knee joints of the bottom leg. The examiner fixed the pelvis with one hand and, with the knee of the top leg in 90° flexion, moved the top leg through passive abduction and extension. From this position, the top leg was slowly lowered, and the motion was measured at the stopping point. The OT is an instrument with high inter-rater reliability (ICC = 0.90) (Reese



Fig. 1. Study flow diagram.

Stretch group: did exercises for core stability and hip muscle stretching, Strengthen group: did exercises for core stability and hip muscle strengthening, Sham group: did core stability exercises and received sham treatment (gentle palpation of the skin).

and Bandy 2003).

The flexion adduction internal rotation test (FAIRT) was used to measure piriformis muscle flexibility. With the patient in a supine position, the hip joint in 60° flexion, and the knee joint in 90° flexion, the examiner moved the hip through passive adduction and internal rotation. The motion was measured using a goniometer at the point in the passive test where the patient experienced pain in the sciatic/gluteal area (Kean Chen and Nizar 2013).

*Measurement of disability level*: The Oswestry disability index (ODI) was used to assess the extent of disability caused by LBP. The ODI consisted of 10 questions on pain intensity, personal care, lifting, walking, sitting, standing, sleeping, sexual activity, social life, and travel. Performance in each item was described in 6 stages, from 0 to 5 points (Fairbank 2014). A higher score on the ODI indicated more severe disability.

The Roland Morris disability questionnaire (RMDQ) was developed to assess physical function in relation to LBP. The RMDQ consists of 24 items, including walking, sitting, lying, dressing, sleeping, self-care, and daily living, and the range for the total score is 0-24 points. A higher score on the RMDQ indicates a more severe disability level (Roland and Morris 1983).

*Measurement of balance ability*: The one-leg standing test (OLST) was used to measure the static balance ability of the LBP patients. At the start of the OLST, the patient stood on two feet on firm, even ground, with their eyes closed and their arms spread. Upon the instruction, "Start," the patient raised one leg 90° and the timer was started simultaneously. The time was measured until the foot touched the ground again. The OLST is an instrument with high inter-rater reli-

Table 1. General characteristics of participants (n = 66).

Characteristic	All patients Mean (SD)	Stretch group Mean (SD)	Strengthen group Mean (SD)	Sham group Mean (SD)	X <sup>2</sup> /P value <sup>a)</sup>
Number of patients	66	24	22	20	-
Sex (Men/Women)	34/32	11/13	11/11	12/8	0.63
Age (years)	47.42 <sup>b)</sup>	47.50 (9.70)	47.04 (9.48)	47.75 (8.51)	0.97
Height (cm)	166.24 <sup>b)</sup>	164.79 (8.29)	166.50 (8.28)	167.70 (8.18)	0.50
Weight (kg)	66.29 <sup>b)</sup>	65.42 (10.45)	66.00 (9.26)	67.65 (8.75)	0.73
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.85 <sup>b)</sup>	23.91 (1.66)	23.69 (1.50)	23.95 (1.09)	0.81
Non-dominant side (R/L)	29/37	10/14	9/13	10/10	0.95
Pain intensity (VAS [score])	5.98 (1.08)	5.95 (1.09)	6.12 (1.02)	5.85 (1.16)	0.72
Lower back instability (PSLRT [degree])	64.51 (7.25)	64.71 (7.40)	65.23 (7.34)	63.50 (7.23)	0.74
Hip muscle flexibility					
TTT (cm)	-4.11 (7.63)	-4.12 (8.09)	-4.77 (8.21)	-3.35 (6.64)	0.84
MTT (degree)	15.27 (6.62)	16.12 (7.28)	14.50 (5.93)	15.10 (6.72)	0.71
OT (degree)	17.47 (5.06)	17.62 (5.35)	17.64 (4.92)	17.10 (5.10)	0.93
FAIRT (degree)	8.54 (2.62)	8.54 (2.57)	8.77 (2.41)	8.30 (2.99)	0.85
Disability level					
ODI (score)	57.57 (6.23)	57.67 (6.50)	56.91 (6.92)	58.20 (5.27)	0.80
RMDQ (score)	11.30 (2.22)	11.29 (1.85)	11.23 (2.62)	11.40 (2.28)	0.97
Balance ability (OLST [sec])	8.16 (4.25)	7.96 (4.18)	8.23 (4.14)	8.33 (4.66)	0.96
Quality of life					
SF-PCS (score)	30.16 (3.14)	29.69 (2.86)	30.42 (3.33)	30.44 (3.33)	0.66
SF-MCS (score)	46.48 (6.98)	46.46 (7.25)	46.69 (6.90)	46.26 (7.09)	0.98

SD, standard deviation; Stretch group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle stretching; Strengthen group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle strengthening; Sham group, did core stability exercises and had sham treatment; BMI, body mass index; R, right; L, left; VAS, visual analogue scale; PSLRT, passive straight leg raising test; TTT, toe-touch test; MTT, modified Thomas test; OT, Ober test; FAIRT, Flexion adduction internal rotation test; ODI, Oswestry disability index; RMDQ, Roland Morris disability questionnaires; OLST, one-leg standing test; SF-PCS, short form-physical component score; SF-MCS, short form-mental component score.

<sup>a)</sup> one-way ANOVA; <sup>b)</sup> covariate.

ability (ICC = 0.88-1.0) (Tidstrand and Horneij 2009).

*Measurement of QOL*: The SF-36 is a short-form survey developed by Ware and Sherbourne (1992), and it was used to assess QOL for patients with LBP. This self-report questionnaire consisted of 36 questions in total, divided into 8 subdomains: physical function, physical role difficulty, pain, general health, energy, social function, emotional role difficulty, and mental health. Each item was scored, and each subdomain was converted to a score in the range of 0-100 points. A higher score indicated a higher health-related QOL.

# Intervention

*Core stability exercise*: All groups participated in CSE for 30 min, 3 times/week, for 6 weeks. For each exercise, isometric contraction was maintained for 7-8 s. Each exercise was reported 10 times, and there was a brief rest interval of 3 s between repetitions. Patients were provided a 1-min rest in between exercises (McGill 2015). Individual training intensity gradually increased with decreasing therapist's assistance, and was determined based on the patient's per-

formance. During the repetition of each exercise, patients were instructed to contract their abdominal muscles, and to hold the contraction while maintaining a normal breathing pattern (Saleh et al. 2019). All exercise sessions were performed in individual exercise programs with the therapist, and recorded in a diary to facilitate adherence rates. Based on the exercise methods of Saleh et al. (2019), CSE consisted of abdominal hollowing, side bridge, supine extension bridge, straight leg rise from prone, alternate arm and leg raise from quadruped, and prone bridge. The methods for the CSE are described in Table 2.

*Hip muscle stretching exercise*: In addition to core stability exercises, Stretch group patients participated in hip muscle stretching exercises for 15 min, 3 times/week, for 6 weeks. All hip muscle stretching exercises were maintained for 30 s at the maximal motion, before returning to the original position and resting for 10 s. All exercises were repeated 3 times (Han et al. 2016). Patients were instructed to minimize tension in their body and to breath in during each movement. All exercise sessions were performed in individual exercise programs with the therapist, and recorded in

#### B. Kim and J. Yim

#### Table 2. Details of the core stability exercises.

Type of Exercise	Description
Abdominal hollowing	The participant lies down with his/her knees in 90° flexion. With the lower back and pelvis aligned, a pressure biofeedback device (Stabilizer <sup>TM</sup> , Pressure Biofeedback Unit, USA) is placed on the lower back, and the pressure is set to 40 mmHg. The participant forcefully contracts his/her abdominal muscles to increase the pressure to 70 mmHg and holds the contraction (Kang et al. 2016, Lee et al. 2018a).
Side bridge	The participant's left forearm is placed on the ground below the shoulder. The participant lifts his/ her body to form a side bridge, or "plank" position. This position is maintained using the forearm and foot to support the body. The exercise is repeated for the right side.
Supine extension bridge	The participant adopts a hook-lying position with both feet below the knees. The participant slowly lifts his/her hips until their knees and shoulders are aligned in a straight line, taking care to support the weight of the body with shoulders rather than neck. After holding this static position, the participant slowly lowers his/her hips to the ground.
Straight leg rise from prone	The participant adopts a prone position with his/her head on the arms. The participant contracts the gluteus and hamstring muscles of the right leg and raises his/her leg as high as possible towards the ceiling. After holding this static position, the participant slowly lowers his/her leg. The exercise is repeated for the left side.
Alternate arm and leg raise from quadruped	The participant adopts a four-point kneeling position. If necessary, a cushion is placed under the knees for comfort. The participant contracts his/her abdominal muscles to stabilize the spine. While maintaining tension in the abdomen, the participant lifts one arm and the contralateral leg. After holding this position, the participant slowly lowers the arm and leg and repeats the exercise, alternating sides.
Prone bridge	The participant adopts a prone elbow position. The participant raises his/her body onto the forearms, placed below the shoulders and toes. The participant maintains his/her hips and back in a parallel straight-line posture.

The trick movements or compensation of all exercises were focused and corrected by the chin-out position, throw-out abdominal position, or trunk hyperextension position.

Type of Exercise	Description
Hamstring stretch	Hamstring stretch is performed with the participant lying in a comfortable supine position. The participant fixes his/her left leg, and the therapist holds the right ankle and induces a passive stretch of the hamstrings to the participant's pain-free limit. The hip flexion, knee extension, and the ankle joint are maintained in a neutral position.
Iliopsoas stretch	Iliopsoas stretch is performed using the modified Thomas test position. The participant lies supine with his/her hips at the edge of the table, holds the contralateral (non-stretch) leg below the knee, and pulls it towards the chest. The therapist then holds the leg to be stretched above the knee and exerts a constant force to extend and stretch the leg.
Piriformis stretch	Piriformis stretch is performed with the participant lying comfortable in a supine position. The stretch is performed at 90° hip flexion, in the direction of maximal horizontal adduction and maximal external rotation. The stretch is induced with passive overpressure to the maximal pain-free stretch position.
Tensor fasciae latae stretch	Tensor fasciae latae stretch is performed in the modified Thomas test position. The participant lies supine with his/her hips at the edge of the table, holds the contralateral (non-stretch) leg below the knee, and pulls it towards the chest. The therapist then stretches the other leg by flexing the knee to 90° and inducing maximal adduction and maximal extension of the hip.

Table 3. Details of the hip muscle stretching exercises.

The trick movements or compensation of all exercises were focused and corrected by the chin-out position, throw-out abdominal position, or trunk hyperextension position.

a diary to facilitate adherence rates. The hip muscle stretching exercises consisted of hamstring stretch (Castellote-Caballero et al. 2014), iliopsoas stretch (Wakefield and Cottrell 2015), piriformis stretch (Gulledge et al. 2014), and tensor fasciae latae stretch (Umehara et al. 2015). The methods for the hip muscle stretching exercises are described in Table 3. *Hip muscle strengthening exercise*: In addition to core stability exercises, Strengthen group patients participated in hip muscle strengthening exercises for 15 min, 3 times/ week, for 6 weeks. All hip muscle strengthening exercises were maintained for 30 s at maximal isometric contraction, before returning to the original position and resting for 10 s. All exercises were repeated 3 times. Individual training

intensity gradually increased with decreasing therapist's assistance, and was determined based on the patient's performance. All exercise sessions were performed in individual exercise programs with the therapist, and recorded in a diary to facilitate adherence rates. Hip muscle strengthening exercises consisted of side-lying hip abduction with internal rotation, prone heel squeeze (Philippon et al. 2011), quadruped hip extension (Boren et al. 2011), and standing gluteal squeeze (Contreras et al. 2015). The methods for the hip muscle strengthening exercises are described in Table 4.

*Sham treatment*: The Sham group participated in sham treatment for 15 min, 3 times/week, for 6 weeks. The therapist gently palpated the skin over the patient's lumbosacral spine, and did not perform any other intervention. The patients were unaware that they were in the Sham group, and believed that they were receiving actual treatment at the time of the intervention (Krekoukias et al. 2017).

#### Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics version 23.0 software for Windows (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, USA) was used for statistical processing in this study. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used for normality testing of the participants' general characteristics. A one-way ANOVA was performed to test the homogeneity of the dependent variables between the three groups at baseline. Paired t-tests were performed to compare changes in physical function and activity within the groups between pre- and post-intervention. An analysis of covariance was performed to compare differences between groups. Bonferroni's method was used for posthoc testing. A statistical significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$  was used for all tests.

# Results

Analysis of within-group changes showed that all groups had significant differences between pre- and postintervention (P < 0.05) for: pain intensity, lower back instability, and hip muscle flexibility (Table 5). Additionally, there were significant differences between pre- and postintervention for disability level, balance ability, and QOL, when changes within-group changes were analyzed (Table 6).

There were statistically significant differences in pain intensity, lower back instability, and in hip muscle flexibility between the groups (P < 0.05). Post-hoc Bonferroni testing confirmed that the Stretch group experienced a greater impact on lower back instability and hip muscle flexibility than the Strengthen and Sham groups and that both the Stretch and Strengthen groups experienced a greater impact on pain intensity than the Sham group (Table 7). There were statistically significant differences in the disability level, balance ability, and QOL between the groups (P < 0.05). Post-hoc Bonferroni testing confirmed that the Stretch and Strengthen groups experienced a greater impact on disability level, balance ability, and QOL than the Sham group (Table 8).

## Discussion

The overall objective of this study was (1) to determine whether hip muscle stretching intervention in conjunction with CSE would result in greater improvements in outcomes than sham treatment, and (2) to compare commonly used hip muscle strengthening exercises. We hypothesized that the addition of hip muscle stretching exercises would show improved outcomes for both physical function and activity after 6 weeks of intervention. We observed greater differences in the pain intensity, lower back instability, hip muscle flexibility, disability level, bal-

T 1 1 4	D 11 (	.1 1.	1		
Table 4	Defails of	the hin	muscle	strengthening	evercises
ruore r.	Detunis of	the mp	musere	Suchguiennig	CACICIBCB.

Type of Exercise	Description
Abductor strengthen	Side-lying hip abduction with internal rotation is performed with the participant lying on his/her side. The participant lies with the lower hip and knee joint in approximately 45° flexion to stabilize the posture. With the knee of the upper leg in extension, the ankle in a neutral position, and the hip in abduction and internal rotation, they raise the leg as high as possible and maintain isometric contraction. This exercise is repeated for the opposite leg. Prone heel squeeze is performed with the participant lying prone, the hips slightly abducted, the knees flexed around 70°, and the two heels touching. From the starting position, the participant contracts the gluteal muscles, raises the legs as far as possible, and maintains isometric contraction.
Extensor strengthen	Quadruped hip extension is performed in a four-point kneeling position, with the right leg fixed on the ground to stabilize the participant's posture. With the left knee in 90° flexion, the participant maximally lifts the left leg towards the ceiling and maintains isometric contraction. The exercise is repeated for the opposite leg. Standing gluteal squeeze is performed with the participant standing with both feet spread a little wider than his/her shoulders and slightly externally rotated. The participant contracts his/her gluteal muscles, focusing on hip extension and external rotation.

The trick movements or compensation of all exercises were focused and corrected by the chin-out position, throw-out abdominal position, or trunk hyperextension position.

Table 5. Comparison of baseline and 6-week exercise outcome measures of physical function within groups (n = 66).

Variables	n	Baseline Mean (SD)	6-weeks Mean (SD)	95% CI	Т	P <sup>a)</sup>
Pain intensity (VAS [score])						
Stretch group	24	5.95 (1.09)	2.37 (0.67)	3.24, 3.92	21.86	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	6.12 (1.02)	2.37 (0.69)	3.37, 4.14	20.27	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	5.85 (1.16)	2.92 (0.61)	2.50, 3.35	14.45	$0.00^{*}$
Lower back instability (PSLRT [°])						
Stretch group	24	64.71 (7.40)	72.21 (5.42)	-8.58, -6.42	-14.39	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	65.23 (7.34)	68.86 (7.19)	-4.17, -3.10	-14.02	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	63.50 (7.23)	66.80 (7.01)	-3.78, -2.82	-14.31	$0.00^{*}$
Hip muscle flexibility						
Toe-touch test (cm)						
Stretch group	24	-4.12 (8.09)	-0.67 (7.38)	-4.01, -2.90	-12.85	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	-4.77 (8.21)	-2.59 (6.87)	-3.07, -1.29	-5.08	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	-3.35 (6.64)	-1.80 (6.24)	-2.36, -0.74	-4.00	$0.00^{*}$
Modified Thomas test (°)						
Stretch group	24	16.12 (7.28)	6.29 (7.89)	9.15, 10.51	29.99	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	14.50 (5.93)	11.18 (7.31)	2.45, 4.19	7.94	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	15.10 (6.72)	11.85 (7.58)	2.43, 4.06	8.34	$0.00^{*}$
Ober test (°)						
Stretch group	24	17.62 (5.35)	20.54 (5.72)	-3.29, -2.54	-16.23	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	17.64 (4.92)	19.32 (5.06)	-2.10, -1.26	-8.34	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	17.10 (5.10)	19.00 (4.94)	-2.27, -1.53	-10.78	$0.00^{*}$
Flexion adduction internal rotation test (°)						
Stretch group	24	8.54 (2.57)	12.25 (3.64)	-4.24, -3.17	-14.33	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	8.77 (2.41)	9.73 (2.58)	-1.27, -0.63	-6.20	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	8.30 (2.99)	9.15 (2.92)	-1.12, -0.57	-6.47	$0.00^{*}$

SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; Stretch group, did core stability and hip muscle stretching exercises; Strengthen group, did core stability and hip muscle strengthening exercises; Sham group, did core stability exercises and had sham treatment; VAS, visual analogue scale; PSLRT, passive straight leg raising test. <sup>a)</sup>paired *t*-test; \*P < 0.05.

ance ability, and QOL scores after 6 weeks for the Stretch group than the Sham group. While for the Strengthen group, we observed greater differences in lower back instability and hip muscle flexibility than in the Sham group. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first randomized controlled trial to assess the effects of hip muscle stretching exercises on patients with NSLBP.

NSLBP is related to hip muscle strength and endurance (Nourbakhsh and Arab 2002), and hip muscle imbalance leads to LBP (Van Dillen et al. 2000). Hip extensor and abductor weakness have been reported to cause LBP in female athletes (Nadler et al. 2002). Thus, due to the importance of these muscles in LBP, exercises to strengthen the hip extensors and abductors have been highlighted as necessary (Bade et al. 2017).

Stretching exercises are one of the most important methods to restore and maintain normal flexibility, and are used to reduce muscle tension or pain and to increase joint motion (Schilling and Stone 2000). The hip muscle stretching exercises in this study aimed to stretch the hamstrings, iliopsoas, tensor fasciae latae, and piriformis muscles. Tension in these muscles inhibits contraction of the hip extensors and abductors in daily life activities, and can act as risk factors for LBP (Frank et al. 2009). Hamstring shortening causes LBP by increasing pelvic tilt (Fasuyi et al. 2017), while the iliopsoas affects activity of the transversus abdominis and, when shortened, causes LBP by inhibiting the transversus abdominis and hip extensor muscle activity (Malai et al. 2015). Hip extensor weakness causes tension in the piriformis, which leads to LBP and restricted hip joint rotation motion (Yang et al. 2018), while the tensor fasciae latae also restricts the motion of the hip joint and pelvis, and causes LBP (Bae et al. 2017). These findings support the need for the hip muscle stretching exercises proposed in this study.

Kim and Lee (2017) reported a significant decrease in LBP after 6 weeks of CSE using a rehabilitation concept in chronic LBP patients. Likewise, in the present study, we observed significant decreases in the pain intensity compared to baseline after 18 sessions in 6 weeks of CSE and hip muscle stretching exercises in the Stretch group (pain intensity difference 3.58 score), following CSE and hip

Variables	n	Baseline Mean (SD)	6-weeks Mean (SD)	95% CI	Т	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{a}\mathrm{)}}$
Disability level						
ODI (score)						
Stretch group	24	57.67 (6.50)	29.25 (7.66)	25.95, 30.88	23.85	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	56.91 (6.92)	30.18 (7.66)	24.11, 29.34	21.23	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	58.20 (5.27)	36.70 (5.12)	19.81, 23.19	26.67	$0.00^{*}$
RMDQ (score)						
Stretch group	24	11.29 (1.85)	3.58 (1.35)	6.79, 8.63	17.35	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	11.23 (2.62)	3.54 (1.59)	6.52, 8.84	13.82	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	11.40 (2.28)	5.55 (1.82)	4.97, 6.72	13.98	$0.00^{*}$
Balance ability (OLST [sec])						
Stretch group	24	7.96 (4.18)	12.74 (6.16)	-5.71, -3.85	-10.63	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	8.23 (4.14)	12.22 (5.56)	-4.74, -3.23	-10.96	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	8.33 (4.66)	9.60 (4.50)	-1.72, -0.84	-6.08	$0.00^{*}$
Quality of life						
SF-PCS (score)						
Stretch group	24	29.69 (2.86)	47.51 (6.54)	-19.44, -16.21	-22.83	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	30.42 (3.33)	47.34 (8.82)	-19.45, -14.40	-13.96	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	30.44 (3.33)	38.99 (3.90)	-9.13, -7.96	-30.65	$0.00^{*}$
SF-MCS (score)						
Stretch group	24	46.46 (7.25)	60.91 (4.17)	-15.86, -13.03	-21.12	$0.00^{*}$
Strengthen group	22	46.69 (6.90)	59.56 (4.93)	-13.89, -11.83	-26.04	$0.00^{*}$
Sham group	20	46.26 (7.09)	54.29 (3.69)	-9.73, -6.32	-9.86	$0.00^{*}$

Table 6. Comparison of baseline and 6-week exercise outcome measures of physical activity within groups (n = 66).

SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; Stretch group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle stretching; Strengthen group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle strengthening; Sham group, did core stability exercises and had sham treatment; ODI, Oswestry disability index; RMDQ, Roland Morris disability questionnaires; OLST, one-leg standing test; SF-PCS, short form-physical component score; SF-MCS, short form-mental component score. <sup>a)</sup>paired *t*-test; \*P < 0.05.

muscle strengthening exercises in the Strengthen group (pain intensity difference 3.75 score), and for CSE only in the Sham group (pain intensity difference 2.93 score). The minimum clinically important difference (MCID) of the pain intensity (VAS) is 2.1 score (Parker et al. 2011). Based on these results, it is thought that CSE in all three groups activated abdominal and lower back muscles that had become weaker due to LBP (Selkow et al. 2017), resulting in a significant decrease in LBP in all groups. In the between-group comparison, Stretch and Strengthen groups showed significant differences compared to the Sham group. Bade et al. (2017) randomly divided 84 LBP patients into two groups: one group received pragmatic LBP intervention, including CSE, and hip joint mobility therapy and strengthening exercises, and the other group received pragmatic LBP intervention only. The authors reported a significant decrease in LBP in the group that also received hip joint mobility therapy and strengthening exercises (Bade et al. 2017). A previous report suggested that hip joint mobility therapy and strengthening exercises improved the strength of weakened muscles and relaxed tense muscles; this reduced anterior pelvic tilt, which in turn lessened the pressure on the spine and provided pain relief (Kang and Kim 2019), which supports the results of our study on hip muscle stretching and strengthening exercises.

Louw et al. (2017) reported a 5-degree improvement in PSLRT (lower back instability) in chronic LBP patients who underwent manual therapy. Likewise, in the present study, the Stretch (lower back instability difference 7.5 degrees), Strengthen (lower back instability difference 3.63 degrees), and Sham (lower back instability difference 3.3 degrees) groups all showed significant improvements in lower back instability post-intervention compared to preintervention. Control of rotation motion of the spine is an important variable for lower back stability. The transversus abdominis and multifidus contract synergistically to control the rotation motion of the spine, and it is thought that the CSE in this study affected this control process by activating transversus abdominis and multifidus, resulting in reduced instability of the lower back (Wilke et al. 1995). Moreover, the between-groups comparison showed a significant difference in the Stretch group compared with Strengthen and Sham groups. LBP patients generally show lower crossed syndrome, in which there is tightness of the back extensors and hip flexors and weakness of the abdominal muscles and

Variables	n	Baseline Mean (SD)	6-weeks Mean (SD)	F	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{a})}$	Post hoc <sup>b)</sup>
Pain intensity (VAS [score])						
Stretch group	24	5.95 (1.09)	2.37 (0.67)	9.972	$0.00^{*}$	A, $B > C$
Strengthen group	22	6.12 (1.02)	2.37 (0.69)			
Sham group	20	5.85 (1.16)	2.92 (0.61)			
Lower back instability (PSLRT [°])						
Stretch group	24	64.71 (7.40)	72.21 (5.42)	55.384	$0.00^{*}$	A > B, C
Strengthen group	22	65.23 (7.34)	68.86 (7.19)			
Sham group	20	63.50 (7.23)	66.80 (7.01)			
Hip muscle flexibility						
Toe-touch test (cm)						
Stretch group	24	-4.12 (8.09)	-0.67 (7.38)	10.229	$0.00^{*}$	A > B, C
Strengthen group	22	-4.77 (8.21)	-2.59 (6.87)			
Sham group	20	-3.35 (6.64)	-1.80 (6.24)			
Modified Thomas Test (°)						
Stretch group	24	16.12 (7.28)	6.29 (7.89)	129.217	$0.00^{*}$	A > B, C
Strengthen group	22	14.50 (5.93)	11.18 (7.31)			
Sham group	20	15.10 (6.72)	11.85 (7.58)			
Ober test (°)						
Stretch group	24	17.62 (5.35)	20.54 (5.72)	12.858	$0.00^{*}$	A > B, C
Strengthen group	22	17.64 (4.92)	19.32 (5.06)			
Sham group	20	17.10 (5.10)	19.00 (4.94)			
FAIRT (°)						
Stretch group	24	8.54 (2.57)	12.25 (3.64)	77.937	$0.00^{*}$	A > B, C
Strengthen group	22	8.77 (2.41)	9.73 (2.58)			
Sham group	20	8.30 (2.99)	9.15 (2.92)			

Table 7. Change in outcome measures of physical function between groups (n = 66).

SD, standard deviation; Stretch group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle stretching; Strengthen group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle strengthening; Sham group, did core stability exercises and had sham treatment; VAS, visual analogue scale; PSLRT, passive straight leg raising test; FAIRT, Flexion adduction internal rotation test; A, Stretch group; B, Strengthen group; C, Sham group.

<sup>a)</sup>analysis of covariance; <sup>b)</sup>Bonferroni,  $^*P < 0.05$ .

the hip extensors (Frank et al. 2009). Hip joint motion and imbalances in muscle length and muscle strength are associated with chronic LBP (Van Dillen et al. 2000). Lower back instability is observed in 57% of chronic LBP patients (Alqarni et al. 2011). The hip muscle stretching exercises in this study are thought to have increased the length of shortened hip muscles, facilitating activation of the transversus abdominis, which plays a relatively important role in lower back stability, which resulted in greater improvements in lower back instability in the Stretch group that performed hip muscle stretching exercises.

Trampas et al. (2015) observed a significant improvement in balance ability in chronic NSLBP patients who underwent CSE and manual therapy. Park (2006) reported a 1.26 sec improvement in OLST (balance ability) in LBP patients who underwent Tai Chi exercise. Similarly, in the present study, there were significant improvements in balance ability after the intervention in both Stretch (balance ability difference 4.78 sec) and Strengthen (balance ability difference 3.99 sec) groups and in the Sham group (balance ability difference 1.27 sec). Yadav and Deshmukh (2013), reported that CSE is highly effective at improving dynamic balance in LBP patients, which is consistent with our results. Meanwhile, in the between-groups comparison, both Stretch and Strengthen groups showed significant differences compared to the Sham group. Humans use strategies involving the ankle and hip joints and foot support to maintain postural stability (Horak and Nashner 1986). Chronic LBP patients favor hip joint strategies over ankle strategies for maintaining postural stability (Nies and Sinnott 1991), and compared to younger adults, the elderly use hip joint strategies more frequently (Okada et al. 2001). In the present study, the Stretch group patients, who performed hip muscle stretching exercises, showed significant improvements in hip muscle flexibility following the intervention, and these increases in hip muscle flexibility are thought to have resulted in improved balance ability by affecting hip joint strategies. Meanwhile, the Strengthen group patients, who performed hip muscle strengthening exercises, are thought to have showed improved balance

Variables	n	Baseline Mean (SD)	6-weeks Mean (SD)	F	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{a})}$	Post hoc <sup>b)</sup>
Disability level						
ODI (score)						
Stretch group	24	57.67 (6.50)	29.25 (7.66)	11.112	$0.00^{*}$	A, $B > C$
Strengthen group	22	56.91 (6.92)	30.18 (7.66)			
Sham group	20	58.20 (5.27)	36.70 (5.12)			
RMDQ (score)						
Stretch group	24	11.29 (1.85)	3.58 (1.35)	11.901	$0.00^{*}$	A, $B > C$
Strengthen group	22	11.23 (2.62)	3.54 (1.59)			
Sham group	20	11.40 (2.28)	5.55 (1.82)			
Balance ability (OLST [sec])						
Stretch group	24	7.96 (4.18)	12.74 (6.16)	36.571	$0.00^{*}$	A, $B > C$
Strengthen group	22	8.23 (4.14)	12.22 (5.56)			
Sham group	20	8.33 (4.66)	9.60 (4.50)			
Quality of life						
SF-PCS (score)						
Stretch group	24	29.69 (2.86)	47.51 (6.54)	83.924	$0.00^{*}$	A, $B > C$
Strengthen group	22	30.42 (3.33)	47.34 (8.82)			
Sham group	20	30.44 (3.33)	38.99 (3.90)			
SF-MCS (score)						
Stretch group	24	46.46 (7.25)	60.91 (4.17)	187.776	$0.00^{*}$	A, $B > C$
Strengthen group	22	46.69 (6.90)	59.56 (4.93)			
Sham group	20	46.26 (7.09)	54.29 (3.69)			

Table 8. Change in outcome measures of physical activity between groups (n = 66).

SD, standard deviation; Stretch group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle stretching; Strengthen group, did exercises for core stability and hip muscle strengthening; Sham group, did core stability exercises and had sham treatment; ODI, Oswestry disability index; RMDQ, Roland Morris disability questionnaires; OLST, one-leg standing test; SF-PCS, short form-physical component score; SF-MCS, short form-mental component score; A, Stretch group; B, Strengthen group; C, Sham group.

<sup>a)</sup>analysis of covariance; <sup>b)</sup>Bonferroni; \*P < 0.05.

ability due to increased hip muscle strength. Jeong et al. (2015) randomly divided 40 chronic LBP patients into a group that performed CSE and hip muscle strengthening exercises, and another group that only performed CSE, and reported a significant increase in balance ability in the group that performed both CSE and hip muscle strengthening exercises, supporting our results for Strengthen group.

The Stretch (ODI difference 28.42, RMDQ difference 7.71), Strengthen (ODI difference 26.73, RMDQ difference 7.69), and Sham (ODI difference 21.5, RMDQ difference 5.58) groups all showed significant decreases in scores for disability level (ODI, RMDQ) following the intervention. The MCIDs are a score of 14.9 for ODI and a score of 5 for RMDQ (Parker et al. 2011; Yelland et al. 2011). In the between-groups comparison, Stretch and Strengthen groups showed significant differences compared to the Sham group. Saleh et al. (2019) administered CSE to lumbo-pelvic pain patients and reported a significant decrease in disability level; Bade et al. (2017) randomly divided LBP patients into a group that received pragmatic LBP intervention and hip exercises and a group that only received pragmatic LBP intervention, and reported significant decreases in disability level in the group that had also performed hip exercises. Based on these results, we may infer that repeated hip muscle stretching and strengthening resolves muscle length and muscle strength imbalances, leading to increased spine motion and reduced pain (Gawda et al. 2015), and this is thought to explain the significant improvements observed in disability level in the Stretch and Strengthen groups, who performed hip muscle stretching or strengthening exercises, compared to the Sham group.

Ulger et al. (2017) reported significant improvements in QOL (short form-physical component score [SF-PCS], short form-mental component score [SF-MCS]) after 18 sessions of CSE and manual therapy over 6 weeks in chronic LBP patients. Likewise, in the present study, the Stretch (SF-PCS difference 17.82 score, SF-MCS difference 14.45 score), Strengthen (SF-PCS difference 16.92 score, SF-MCS difference 12.87 score) groups, and Sham groups (SF-PCS difference 8.55 score, SF-MCS difference 8.03 score) showed significant improvements in QOL after the intervention. The MCIDs are a score of 8.8 for SF-PCS and 9.3 for SF-MCS (Parker et al. 2012). In the betweengroups comparison, Stretch and Strengthen groups showed B. Kim and J. Yim

significant differences compared to the Sham group. These results suggest that CSE led to core muscle strengthening, improved spinal stability, and reduced stress on the spine in all participants (Çelenay and Kaya 2017), which was effective at ameliorating disability experienced in daily life activities. Moreover, patients exhibited muscle imbalance and altered movement patterns to compensate for and protect injured areas, which exacerbated pain in daily life activities (Lund et al. 1991). The hip muscle stretching and strengthening exercises in this study reduced imbalances by stretching muscles that had been shortened and strengthening muscles that had become weaker, facilitating normal movement patterns and improving QOL.

With regards to therapeutic methods for NSLBP patients, previous studies have focused on hip muscle strengthening exercises (Kendall et al. 2015; Bade et al. 2017). However, the overall results of the present study show that hip muscle stretching exercises were even more effective than hip muscle strengthening exercises at improving lower back instability and hip muscle flexibility scores. The increased hip joint motion and reduced lower back instability as a result of hip muscle stretching exercises have been associated with changes such as improved muscular performance (Ferreira et al. 2007), prevention of muscle atrophy (Coutinho et al. 2004), reduced stiffness (Marshall et al. 2011), and improved proprioception sense (Walsh 2017). In addition, reduced lower back instability may have many positive effects including on various limb movements, heavy lifting, personal care, walking, and sitting. Increased hip muscle flexibility is expected to have a positive effect on leg flexion movements, stair walking, wearing socks, and lumbar flexion which require the motion of the hip joint. It is thought that these positive changes have an effect on physical function and activity of NSLBP patients. Thus, the results of our study demonstrate the necessity of hip muscle stretching exercises.

This study had several limitations. First, the intervention period was short, and a 6-month follow-up was not possible after the experiment, limiting the ability to quantify and compare the results. Second, since objective assessments using devices such as ultrasound or electromyography were not performed, the actual changes in the muscles could not be determined. Further studies will be needed in the future to overcome these limitations.

In conclusion, this study investigated the effects on physical function and activity after intervention with hip muscle stretching exercises in combination with CSE for patients with NSLBP. Similar to hip muscle stretching exercises, CSE and hip muscle strengthening exercises improved physical function and activity. In particular, hip muscle stretching exercises improved lower back instability and hip muscle flexibility. In this study, hip muscle stretching exercises seem to be more effective for improving NSLBP than hip muscle strengthening exercises. Based on these results, we believe that hip muscle stretching exercises could be used effectively alongside CSE in a clinical setting.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (Ministry of Education) (No. 2017R1D1A1B03035062).

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Alqarni, A.M., Schneiders, A.G. & Hendrick, P.A. (2011) Clinical tests to diagnose lumbar segmental instability: a systematic review. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., 41, 130-140.
- Arokoski, J.P., Valta, T., Kankaanpää, M. & Airaksinen, O. (2004) Activation of lumbar paraspinal and abdominal muscles during therapeutic exercises in chronic low back pain patients. *Arc. Phys. Med. Rehabil.*, **85**, 823-832.
- Ayala, F., de Baranda, P.S., Croix, M.D.S. & Santonja, F. (2012) Reproducibility and criterion-related validity of the sit and reach test and toe touch test for estimating hamstring flexibility in recreationally active young adults. *Phys. Ther. Sport*, 13, 219-226.
- Bade, M., Cobo-Estevez, M., Neeley, D., Pandya, J., Gunderson, T. & Cook, C. (2017) Effects of manual therapy and exercise targeting the hips in patients with low-back pain: a randomized controlled trial. J. Eval. Clin. Pract., 23, 734-740.
- Bae, H. I., Kim, D. Y. & Sung, Y. H. (2017) Effects of a static stretch using a load on low back pain patients with shortened tensor fascia lata. J. Exerc. Rehabil., 13, 227-231.
- Balthazard, P., de Goumoens, P., Rivier, G., Demeulenaere, P., Ballabeni, P. & Dériaz, O. (2012) Manual therapy followed by specific active exercises versus a placebo followed by specific active exercises on the improvement of functional disability in patients with chronic non specific low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.*, 13, 162.
- Behm, D.G., Blazevich, A.J., Kay, A.D. & McHugh, M. (2016) Acute effects of muscle stretching on physical performance, range of motion, and injury incidence in healthy active individuals: a systematic review. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.*, 41, 1-11.
- Ben-Galim, P., Ben-Galim, T., Rand, N., Haim, A., Hipp, J., Dekel, S. & Floman, Y. (2007) Hip-spine syndrome: the effect of total hip replacement surgery on low back pain in severe osteoarthritis of the hip. *Spine*, **32**, 2099-2102.
- Bernet, B.A., Peskura, E.T., Meyer, S.T., Bauch, P.C. & Donaldson, M.B. (2018) The effects of hip-targeted physical therapy interventions on low back pain: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Musculoskelet. Sci. Prac.*, **39**, 91-100.
- Boren, K., Conrey, C., Le Coguic, J., Paprocki, L., Voight, M. & Robinson, T.K. (2011) Electromyographic analysis of gluteus medius and gluteus maximus during rehabilitation exercises. *Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther.*, 6, 206-223.
- Cai, C. & Kong, P.W. (2015) Low back and lower-limb muscle performance in male and female recreational runners with chronic low back pain. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., 45, 436-443.
- Castellote-Caballero, Y., Valenza, M.C., Puentedura, E.J., Fernández-de-las-Peñas, C. & Alburquerque-Sendín, F. (2014) Immediate effects of neurodynamic sliding versus muscle stretching on hamstring flexibility in subjects with short hamstring syndrome. J. Sports Med., 2014, 127471.
- Çelenay, Ş.T. & Kaya, D.Ö. (2017) An 8-week thoracic spine stabilization exercise program improves postural back pain,

spine alignment, postural sway, and core endurance in university students: a randomized controlled study. *Turk. J. Med. Sci.*, **47**, 504-513.

- Clapis, P.A., Davis, S.M. & Davis, R.O. (2008) Reliability of inclinometer and goniometric measurements of hip extension flexibility using the modified Thomas test. *Physiother: Theory Prac.*, 24, 135-141.
- Contreras, B., Vigotsky, A.D., Schoenfeld, B.J., Beardsley, C. & Cronin, J. (2015) A comparison of two gluteus maximus EMG maximum voluntary isometric contraction positions. *PeerJ*, **3**, e1261.
- Cooper, N.A., Scavo, K.M., Strickland, K.J., Tipayamongkol, N., Nicholson, J.D., Bewyer, D.C. & Sluka, K.A. (2016) Prevalence of gluteus medius weakness in people with chronic low back pain compared to healthy controls. *Eur. Spine J.*, 25, 1258-1265.
- Coutinho, E., Gomes, A., França, C., Oishi, J. & Salvini, T. (2004) Effect of passive stretching on the immobilized soleus muscle fiber morphology. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.*, 37, 1853-1861.
- Deyo, R.A., Rainville, J. & Kent, D.L. (1992) What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? *JAMA*, 268, 760-765.
- Fairbank, J. (2014) Oswestry disability index. J. Neurosurg. Spine, 20, 239-241.
- Fasuyi, F.O., Fabunmi, A.A. & Adegoke, B.O. (2017) Hamstring muscle length and pelvic tilt range among individuals with and without low back pain. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.*, **21**, 246-250.
- Ferreira, G.N.T., Teixeira-Salmela, L.F. & Guimaraes, C.Q. (2007) Gains in flexibility related to measures of muscular performance: impact of flexibility on muscular performance. *Clin. J. Sport Med.*, **17**, 276-281.
- Frank, C., Page, P. & Lardner, R. (2009) Assessment and treatment of muscle imbalance: the Janda approach, Human Kinetics Publishers, USA.
- Gawda, P., Dmoszynska-Graniczka, M., Pawlak, H., Cybulski, M., Kielbus, M., Majcher, P., Buczaj, A. & Buczaj, M. (2015) Evaluation of influence of stretching therapy and ergonomic factors on postural control in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain. *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.*, 22, 142-146.
- Gulledge, B.M., Marcellin-Little, D.J., Levine, D., Tillman, L., Harrysson, O.L., Osborne, J.A. & Baxter, B. (2014) Comparison of two stretching methods and optimization of stretching protocol for the piriformis muscle. *Med. Eng. Phys.*, 36, 212-218.
- Han, H. I., Choi, H. S. & Shin, W. S. (2016) Effects of hamstring stretch with pelvic control on pain and work ability in standing workers. J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil., 29, 865-871.
- Hicks, G.E., Sions, J.M. & Velasco, T.O. (2018) Hip symptoms, physical performance, and health status in older adults with chronic low back pain: a preliminary investigation. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.*, **99**, 1273-1278.
- Horak, F.B. & Nashner, L.M. (1986) Central programming of postural movements: adaptation to altered support-surface configurations. J. Neurophysiol., 55, 1369-1381.
- Hungerford, B., Gilleard, W. & Hodges, P. (2003) Evidence of altered lumbopelvic muscle recruitment in the presence of sacroiliac joint pain. *Spine*, 28, 1593-1600.
- Imamura, M., Alfieri, F.M., Filippo, T.R.M. & Battistella, L.R. (2016) Pressure pain thresholds in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain. J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil., 29, 327-336.
- Jeong, U.C., Sim, J.H., Kim, C.Y., Hwang-Bo, G. & Nam, C.W. (2015) The effects of gluteus muscle strengthening exercise and lumbar stabilization exercise on lumbar muscle strength and balance in chronic low back pain patients. J. Phys. Ther. Sci., 27, 3813-3816.

Kang, J.I., Jeong, D.K. & Choi, H. (2016) Effect of exhalation

exercise on trunk muscle activity and oswestry disability index of patients with chronic low back pain. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.*, **28**, 1738-1742.

- Kang, T.W. & Kim, B.R. (2019) The effects of stretching and strengthening exercise on the pain, pelvic tilt, functional disability index, and balance ability of patients with chronic lower back pain. J. Kor. Phys. Ther., 31, 7-12.
- Kasunich, N.J. (2003) Changes in low back pain in a long distance runner after stretching the iliotibial band. J. Chiropr. Med., 2, 37-40.
- Kavcic, N., Grenier, S. & McGill, S.M. (2004) Quantifying tissue loads and spine stability while performing commonly prescribed low back stabilization exercises. *Spine*, 29, 2319-2329.
- Kean Chen, C. & Nizar, A.J. (2013) Prevalence of piriformis syndrome in chronic low back pain patients. A clinical diagnosis with modified FAIR test. *Pain Pract.*, **13**, 276-281.
- Kendall, K.D., Emery, C.A., Wiley, J.P. & Ferber, R. (2015) The effect of the addition of hip strengthening exercises to a lumbopelvic exercise programme for the treatment of nonspecific low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. J. Sci. Med. Sport, 18, 626-631.
- Kim, B.R. & Lee, H.J. (2017) Effects of proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation-based abdominal muscle strengthening training on pulmonary function, pain, and functional disability index in chronic low back pain patients. J. Exerc. Rehabil., 13, 486-490.
- Koes, B., Van Tulder, M. & Thomas, S. (2006) Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. BMJ, 332, 1430-1434.
- Krekoukias, G., Gelalis, I.D., Xenakis, T., Gioftsos, G., Dimitriadis, Z. & Sakellari, V. (2017) Spinal mobilization vs conventional physiotherapy in the management of chronic low back pain due to spinal disk degeneration: a randomized controlled trial. J. Man. Manip. Ther., 25, 66-73.
- Lee, H.J., Kang, T.W. & Kim, B.R. (2018a) Effects of diaphragm and deep abdominal muscle exercise on walking and balance ability in patients with hemiplegia due to stroke. *J. Exerc. Rehabil.*, 14, 648-653.
- Lee, H.J., Shim, J.H., Kim, J.W. & Kim, K.S. (2018b) The fusion effect of deep transverse stroking, manual stretching exercise and active muscle release technique on psoas major muslce thickness and muscle tone and pelvic angle of non-specific low back pain patient. J. Korea Convergence Soc., 9, 137-144.
- Leung, F.T., Mendis, M.D., Stanton, W.R. & Hides, J.A. (2015) The relationship between the piriformis muscle, low back pain, lower limb injuries and motor control training among elite football players. J. Sci. Med. Sport, 18, 407-411.
- Liddle, S.D., Baxter, G.D. & Gracey, J.H. (2004) Exercise and chronic low back pain: what works? *Pain*, **107**, 176-190.
- Louw, A., Farrell, K., Landers, M., Barclay, M., Goodman, E., Gillund, J., McCaffrey, S. & Timmerman, L. (2017) The effect of manual therapy and neuroplasticity education on chronic low back pain: a randomized clinical trial. *J. Man. Manip. Ther.*, 25, 227-234.
- Lund, J.P., Donga, R., Widmer, C.G. & Stohler, C.S. (1991) The pain-adaptation model: a discussion of the relationship between chronic musculoskeletal pain and motor activity. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 69, 683-694.
- Malai, S., Pichaiyongwongdee, S. & Sakulsriprasert, P. (2015) Immediate effect of hold-relax stretching of iliopsoas muscle on transversus abdominis muscle activation in chronic nonspecific low back pain with lumbar hyperlordosis. J. Med. Assoc. Thai., 98, S6-11.
- Marshall, P.W., Cashman, A. & Cheema, B.S. (2011) A randomized controlled trial for the effect of passive stretching on measures of hamstring extensibility, passive stiffness, strength, and stretch tolerance. J. Sci. Med. Sport, 14, 535-540.
- MassoudArab, A., RezaNourbakhsh, M. & Mohammadifar, A. (2011) The relationship between hamstring length and gluteal

muscle strength in individuals with sacroiliac joint dysfunction. J. Man. Manip. Ther., 19, 5-10.

- McGill, S.M. (2015) Low back disorders: evidence-based prevention and rehabilitation, Human Kinetics Publishers, USA.
- Nadler, S.F., Malanga, G.A., Bartoli, L.A., Feinberg, J.H., Prybicien, M. & DePrince, M. (2002) Hip muscle imbalance and low back pain in athletes: influence of core strengthening. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*, 34, 9-16.
- Nies, N. & Sinnott, P.L. (1991) Variations in balance and body sway in middle-aged adults. Subjects with healthy backs compared with subjects with low-back dysfunction. *Spine*, 16, 325-330.
- Nourbakhsh, M.R. & Arab, A.M. (2002) Relationship between mechanical factors and incidence of low back pain. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., 32, 447-460.
- Offierski, C. & MacNab, I. (1983) Hip-spine syndrome. Spine, 8, 316-321.
- Okada, S., Hirakawa, K., Takada, Y. & Kinoshita, H. (2001) Agerelated differences in postural control in humans in response to a sudden deceleration generated by postural disturbance. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.*, 85, 10-18.
- O'Sullivan, P.B. (2000) Lumbar segmental 'Instability': clinical presentation and specific stabilizing exercise management. *Manual therapy*, **5**, 2-12.
- Parker, S.L., Adogwa, O., Paul, A.R., Anderson, W.N., Aaronson, O., Cheng, J.S. & McGirt, M.J. (2011) Utility of minimum clinically important difference in assessing pain, disability, and health state after transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion for degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis. J. Neurosurg. Spine, 14, 598-604.
- Parker, S.L., Mendenhall, S.K., Shau, D., Adogwa, O., Cheng, J.S., Anderson, W.N., Devin, C.J. & McGirt, M.J. (2012) Determination of minimum clinically important difference in pain, disability, and quality of life after extension of fusion for adjacent-segment disease. J. Neurosurg. Spine, 16, 61-67.
- Park, S.Y. (2006) Effect of Tai Chi exercise on pain, balance, gait and physical function of patients with low back pain. *Korean* J. Rehabil. Nurs., 9, 42-48.
- Philippon, M.J., Decker, M.J., Giphart, J.E., Torry, M.R., Wahoff, M.S. & Laprade, R.F. (2011) Rehabilitation exercise progression for the gluteus medius muscle with consideration for iliopsoas tendinitis: an in vivo electromyography study. *Am. J. Sports Med.*, **39**, 1777-1786.
- Reese, N.B. & Bandy, W.D. (2003) Use of an inclinometer to measure flexibility of the iliotibial band using the Ober test and the modified Ober test: differences in magnitude and reliability of measurements. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., 33, 326-330.
- Roland, M. & Morris, R. (1983) A study of the natural history of back pain: part I development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. *Spine*, 8, 141-144.
- Saleh, M.S.M., Botla, A.M.M. & Elbehary, N.A.M. (2019) Effect of core stability exercises on postpartum lumbopelvic pain: a randomized controlled trial. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.*, 32, 205-213.
- Schilling, B. & Stone, M. (2000) Stretching: acute effects on strength and power performance. *Strength Cond. J.*, 22, 44.
- Selkow, N.M., Eck, M.R. & Rivas, S. (2017) Transversus abdominis activation and timing improves following core stability training: a randomized trial. *Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther.*, 12, 1048-1056.
- Seong, J.H., Kwon, O.Y., Yi, C.H., Cynn, H.S. & Cho, Y.K. (2011) Comparison of the anaerobic threshold level between subjects

with and without non-specific chronic low back pain. *Phys. Ther. Korea*, **18**, 74-82.

- Sueki, D.G., Cleland, J.A. & Wainner, R.S. (2013) A regional interdependence model of musculoskeletal dysfunction: research, mechanisms, and clinical implications. J. Man. Manip. Ther., 21, 90-102.
- Tidstrand, J. & Horneij, E. (2009) Inter-rater reliability of three standardized functional tests in patients with low back pain. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.*, **10**, 58.
- Trampas, A., Mpeneka, A., Malliou, V., Godolias, G. & Vlachakis, P. (2015) Immediate effects of core-stability exercises and clinical massage on dynamic-balance performance of patients with chronic specific low back pain. J. Sport Rehabil., 24, 373-383.
- Tsai, Y.S., Sell, T.C., Smoliga, J.M., Myers, J.B., Learman, K.E. & Lephart, S.M. (2010) A comparison of physical characteristics and swing mechanics between golfers with and without a history of low back pain. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., 40, 430-438.
- Ulger, O., Demirel, A., Oz, M. & Tamer, S. (2017) The effect of manual therapy and exercise in patients with chronic low back pain: double blind randomized controlled trial. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.*, **30**, 1303-1309.
- Umehara, J., Ikezoe, T., Nishishita, S., Nakamura, M., Umegaki, H., Kobayashi, T., Fujita, K. & Ichihashi, N. (2015) Effect of hip and knee position on tensor fasciae latae elongation during stretching: an ultrasonic shear wave elastography study. *Clin. Biomech.*, **30**, 1056-1059.
- Van Dillen, L.R., McDonnell, M.K., Fleming, D.A. & Sahrmann, S.A. (2000) Effect of knee and hip position on hip extension range of motion in individuals with and without low back pain. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., 30, 307-316.
- Waddell, G., Somerville, D., Henderson, I. & Newton, M. (1992) Objective clinical evaluation of physical impairment in chronic low back pain. *Spine*, **17**, 617-628.
- Wagner, D.R., Tatsugawa, K., Parker, D. & Young, T.A. (2007) Reliability and utility of a visual analog scale for the assessment of acute mountain sickness. *High Alt. Med. Biol.*, 8, 27-31.
- Wakefield, C.B. & Cottrell, G.T. (2015) Changes in hip flexor passive compliance do not account for improvement in vertical jump performance after hip flexor static stretching. J. Strength Cond. Res., 29, 1601-1608.
- Walsh, G.S. (2017) Effect of static and dynamic muscle stretching as part of warm up procedures on knee joint proprioception and strength. *Human Mov. Sci.*, 55, 189-195.
- Ware J.E. Jr. & Sherbourne, C.D. (1992) The MOS 36-item shortform health survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med. Care*, **30**, 473-483.
- Wilke, H.J., Wolf, S., Claes, L.E., Arand, M. & Wiesend, A. (1995) Stability increase of the lumbar spine with different muscle groups. A biomechanical in vitro study. *Spine*, **20**, 192-198.
- Yadav, A.O. & Deshmukh, K.G. (2013) Effectiveness of core muscle stabilization training on dynamic balance in mechanical low back pain patients. *Indian J. Physiother. Occup. Ther.*, 7, 34-39.
- Yang, S.Y., Park, K.N., Kyung, M.S. & Kim, S.H. (2018) Comparison of hip rotation range of motion in jiu-jitsu athletes with and without low back pain. *Phys. Ther. Korea*, 25, 47-52.
- Yelland, M., Hooper, A. & Faris, P. (2011) Minimum clinically important changes in disability in a prospective case series with chronic thoracic and lumbar spinal pain. *Int. Musculo-skeletal Med.*, **33**, 49-53.